

Original Research

Barriers to Innovation in Continuing Medical Education

ELIZABETH A. BOWER, MD, MPH; DONALD E. GIRARD, MD; KRISTEN WESSEL, PHD; THOMAS M. BECKER, MD, PHD; DONGSEOK CHOI, PHD

Introduction: Criteria for maintenance of certification (MOC) emphasize the importance of competencies such as communication, professionalism, systems-based care, and practice performance in addition to medical knowledge. Success of this new competency paradigm is dependent on physicians' willingness to engage in activities that focus on less traditional competencies. We undertook this analysis to determine whether physicians' preferences for CME are barriers to participation in innovative programs.

Methods: A geographically stratified, random sample of 755 licensed, practicing physicians in the state of Oregon were surveyed regarding their preferences for type of CME offering and instructional method and plans to recertify.

Results: Three hundred seventy-six of 755 surveys were returned for \pm 5% margin of error at 95% confidence level; 91% of respondents were board certified. Traditional types of CME offerings and instructional methods were preferred by the majority of physicians. Academic physicians were less likely than clinical physicians to prefer nontraditional types of CME offerings and instructional methods. Multiple regression analyses did not reveal any significant differences based on demography, practice location, or physician practice type.

Discussion: Physicians who participate in CME select educational opportunities that appeal to them. There is little attraction to competency-based educational activities despite their requirement for MOC. The apparent disparity between the instructional methods a learner prefers and those that are the most effective in changing physician behavior may represent a barrier to participating in more innovative CME offerings and instructional methods. These findings are important for medical educators and CME program planners developing programs that integrate studied and effective educational methods into CME programs that are attractive to physicians.

Key Words: participation, continuing medical education, survey barriers, preferences, performance in practice

Introduction

Physicians who have completed training and are deemed qualified to practice are expected to maintain competency and consequently spend a considerable amount of time engaged in continuing medical education (CME), which frequently consists of lectures given in comfortable and dimly lit auditoriums.^{1,2} In the past two decades several factors have led to reassessment of the traditional educational paradigm of passive knowledge acquisition. Several systematic reviews of the effect of CME on physician performance and health care outcomes have questioned the effectiveness of customary didactic CME activities.^{3–6} The Institute of Medicine has raised concerns about the quality of care that patients receive in the current U.S. health care system, which may in part be due to inadequate physician training.^{7,8} More recently, a systematic review found that physicians who have been in practice for more years possess less factual knowledge, are less likely to adhere to appropriate standards of care, and may also have poorer patient outcomes.⁹

In response to these concerns there have been calls for the reform of CME,^{10,11} and maintenance of certification (MOC) has emerged as one means of ensuring the competence of practicing physicians.¹² Criteria for maintenance of certification emphasize the importance of competencies such as communication, professionalism, systems-based care, and practice performance in addition to medical knowledge, as

Dr. Bower: Assistant Dean, Continuing Medical Education, Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; *Dr. Girard:* Associate Dean, Graduate & Continuing Medical Education Professor, Department of Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; *Dr. Wessel:* Director of Education, Graduate Medical Education, Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesiology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; *Dr. Becker:* Professor and Chair, Department of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; *Dr. Choi:* Associate Professor, Department of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR:

Correspondence: Elizabeth A. Bower, Oregon Health & Science University, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, L602, Portland, OR 97239-3098; e-mail: bowerb@ohsu.edu.

^{© 2008} The Alliance for Continuing Medical Education, the Society for Academic Continuing Medical Education, and the Council on CME, Association for Hospital Medical Education. • Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/chp.176

outlined by the American Board of Medical Specialties.¹³ The success of this new competency paradigm is in part dependent on physicians' willingness to engage in activities that assess and focus on these less traditional competencies. A previous publication reported an analysis of our survey of the awareness of and participation in MOC in a random sample of actively practicing, licensed physicians in the state of Oregon.¹⁴ We undertook this second analysis to determine whether physicians' preferences for CME are barriers to participation in these nontraditional programs.

Methods

At the time the study sample was obtained, the Oregon Medical Association (OMA) database included 9,985 licensed, actively practicing physicians in Oregon. A sample size of 755 physicians was selected to achieve $\pm 5\%$ margin of error at 95% confidence level¹⁵ with a predicted survey response proportion of 50%. To prevent oversampling from urban areas in Oregon, the sample was stratified by geographic location. The number of licensed physicians actively practicing per county was obtained from the OMA database; less populated counties were clustered together for sampling. A proportional, simple, random sample of licensed, actively practicing physicians in each county or cluster of counties was obtained from the OMA database.

Preferences for CME offering type and instructional method were rated on a 5-point Likert scale with 1 representing *least preferred* or *would not select* and 5 representing *most preferred* or *most likely to select*. Respondents were asked whether they were planning to recertify in their specialty or subspecialty. Those who were planning to recertify were also questioned about continuing medical education programs perceived as helpful for recertification. Demographic and practice information was also obtained.

A pilot study was performed with a group of 30 Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU) physicians, and the questionnaire was revised to assure face validity on the basis of feedback received during the pilot study. Surveys were mailed August 17, 2005, with responses received through the end of October 2005. Reminder letters were sent at 1 and 3 weeks after the initial mailing if completed surveys were not received. The second letter also provided a Web address for those physicians who preferred to complete the survey electronically. Ten days after the second survey mailing, follow-up phone calls requesting participation were made to nonrespondents. The survey protocol was approved by the OHSU Institutional Review Board. There was no external funding source for this study. The survey questionnaire is included as an appendix.

Data were deidentified and imported into SPSS version 14.0 for statistical analyses.¹⁶ To evaluate the representativeness of the sample, mean age and frequency of gender of respondents were compared to the entire OMA database. The proportion of physician specialties in the sample was also compared to the entire OMA database and tested for

differences with the χ^2 test statistic. Survey return rates were calculated by major specialty and geographic cluster and tested for differences with the χ^2 test statistic. Frequencies and descriptive characteristics of demographic variables, including age, gender, years in practice, full- versus part-time work status, major practice specialty, and population of practice location, were calculated. The frequencies of responses for each question were also computed.

Four clusters were identified on the basis of amount of time spent in practice, administration, teaching, and research by using hierarchical cluster analysis. Cluster 1 consisted of physicians who spent most of their time in clinical practice (median 100%). Cluster 2 consisted of physicians who spent most of their time in administration (median 10%). Cluster 3 consisted of physicians with a variety of roles including practice (median 10%), and research (median 10%). Cluster 4 consisted of physicians who spent a significant amount of time in research (median 53%). A grouping variable was created, and each respondent was assigned to one of the clusters described.

Using cross-tabulation to explore relationships between question response and this categorical grouping variable, initial analysis indicated few differences between clusters 1 and 2, primarily clinically oriented physicians, and clusters 3 and 4, physicians with larger proportions of time spent in teaching and research. The clusters were then collapsed into two groups, a clinical and an academic group, for further analysis.

A regression analysis was done with the practice-type variable (clinical vs. academic), location of practice, participation in MOC, and gender as fixed factors and age as a covariate and questions response as the dependent variable.

Results

Three hundred seventy-six surveys of 755 were returned over 16 weeks for a response proportion of 50%. Five physicians responded to the survey electronically by using Survey MonkeyTM (www.surveymonkey.com), 13 returned surveys by fax, and 358 returned their surveys by mail. Five mailed surveys were excluded from analysis because no questions were answered.

The returned sample size achieved $\pm 5\%$ margin of error at 95% confidence level. Distributions of specialty and geographic location of returned surveys were not significantly different from those of the original sample or Oregon physicians as a whole (data not shown). Two hundred sixty (70%) of the physicians who responded to our survey were male. Respondents ranged in age from 29 to 80 years with a mean age of 49. There were no significant differences between our sample and the OMA database on these parameters (TABLE 1).

The number of years in practice ranged from less than 1 year to a maximum of 51 years. Mean number of years in practice was 17. Medicine was practiced full-time by 289

TABLE 1. Demographic Characteristics of Sample and OMA Database

Characteristic	Sample $(N = 371)$	OMA database $(N^* = 9,985)$		
Gender (% male)	70%	69%		
Age (mean, in years)	49 (range 29-80)	47		
Years in practice (mean, in years)	17 (range < 1–51)	NA**		
Practice medicine full-time	78%	NA**		
Practice in urban setting (pop > 75,000)	(228) 61%	NA**		
Practice in one of seven major specialties	(244) 66%	(7,338) 73%		
Time-limited specialty board certification	(187) 51%	NA**		
Planning to participate in MOC to maintain certification	(177) 48%	NA**		

* N with available demographic information.

** Data not available from OMA database.

(78%) of respondents. Two hundred twenty-eight (61%) practiced in urban areas (defined as population > 75,000) and 244 (66%) practiced in one of the seven major specialties; emergency medicine, family practice, internal medicine, OB/ GYN, pediatrics, psychiatry, or surgery (including subspecialties in these areas). The proportion of physicians in the seven major specialties in our sample differed from the OMA database as the sample was stratified by geographic location (TABLE 1). One hundred eighty-seven (51%) survey respondents were required to participate in MOC to maintain their specialty board certification and 177 (95%) of these physicians planned to recertify in their specialty. Traditional types of CME offerings were preferred by the majority of physicians, as shown in FIGURE 1. Specialty association meetings were the most preferred method for keeping medical knowledge up to date with 262 (71%) of respondents rating them a 4 or 5 on a 5-point Likert scale. Update or review courses, short in-depth courses covering one topic, the use of journals or other medical literature, and hospital-sponsored programs such as grand rounds were also preferred methods of CME, rated 4 or 5 on the Likert scale by 50% to 60% of respondents. In contrast individual retraining programs and computer-based educational programs were rated as less desirable types of CME offerings with 50 (13%) and 80 (22%) of all respondents, respectively, rating these methods of updating medical knowledge as their more or most preferred type of CME offering.

Physicians also preferred traditional passive methods of instruction, as shown in FIGURE 2. Lecture in a large group setting was rated as desirable by 282 (76%) of respondents. They were less enthusiastic about more active methods of instruction and subject material other than traditional medical knowledge; small group interactive sessions and demonstrations of procedural techniques were attractive to 225 (61%) and 210 (57%) of all respondents, respectively. Interactive computer programs and individually arranged study were preferred by (89) 24% and (93) 25%, respectively.

There were no significant differences in CME preferences in terms of demography or practice location. Subgroup analysis revealed that academic physicians were even less likely than clinical physicians to prefer innovative forms of CME. For example, clinical physicians were more likely to prefer individual retraining programs, small group interactive sessions, demonstrations of procedural techniques, and computer-based CME (TABLE 2).



FIGURE 1. Mean preference rating of types of CME offerings.

Barriers to Innovation in CME



FIGURE 2. Mean preference ratings of CME instructional methods.

Even those physicians who intended to participate in maintenance of certification believed a traditional knowledgebased CME program or exam preparation course would be most helpful for MOC (128 [72%] and 107 [61%], respectively) (TABLE 3). While 74 (42%) recertifying physicians were interested in attending CME programs on practicebased learning and improvement, many fewer were interested in tools to assist with compiling patient data for practice-

TABLE 2. CME Preferences of Physicians in Clinical and Academic Practices

Type of CME Offering	Clinical Mean	Clinical $\pm SD$	Academic Mean	Academic \pm <i>SD</i>	p Value*
Nontraditional					
Computer-based CME	2.6	1.246	2.4	1.108	0.245
Individual retraining	2.3	1.233	1.8	0.950	< 0.001
Traditional					
Medical association meetings	3.9	1.240	4.4	0.843	< 0.001
One- to three-day update or review	3.8	1.091	3.2	1.100	< 0.001
Short, in-depth, one-topic review	3.5	1.012	3.7	1.137	0.264
Journal reading/literature search	3.6	1.024	3.8	1.113	0.097
Grand rounds at your hospital	3.3	1.165	3.5	1.095	0.045
Audio/video tapes	2.3	1.189	1.9	1.062	0.007
Pharmaceutical company events	2.1	1.020	1.7	0.993	0.002
Teleconferences	1.7	0.907	1.9	0.903	0.105
CME instructional method					
Nontraditional					
Demonstrations of procedures	3.7	1.143	3.2	1.166	0.001
Small group interactive session	3.6	1.107	3.7	1.108	0.456
Interactive computer programs	2.8	1.143	2.8	1.157	0.880
Individually arranged study	2.5	1.287	2.5	1.235	0.938
Traditional					
Large group lecture	4.0	1.028	4.0	0.868	0.719
Journal reading with post-test	3.0	1.157	2.7	1.143	0.018

* Independent sample *t* test.

TABLE 3.	CME	Programs	Identified	as	Helpful	for	Maintenance	of
Certificatio	on							

Type of CME Program	Identified as helpfu by recertifiers (N = 177) No. (%)
Traditional knowledge-based CME course	128 (72)
Traditional exam prep course	107 (61)
Program on practice-based learning and improvement (PIP)	74 (42)
Programs or software to assist with compiling data for PIP	49 (28)
Skills training with simulation	40 (23)
Program using chronic disease registries for education and PIP	28 (16)
Program on communication skills	10 (6)
Program on professionalism	5 (3)

based quality improvement or the use of established chronic disease registries for education and quality improvement (49 [28%] and 28 [16%], respectively) (TABLE 3). Forty (23%) selected skills training using simulations and virtual procedures as helpful, and only 5 (3%) and 10 (6%) selected courses on professionalism or communication skills as CME activities that would be helpful in preparing for MOC (TABLE 3). Multiple regression analyses did not reveal any further significant differences or confounding effects of demography, practice location, or physician practice type.

Limitations

This study sample is limited to physicians in Oregon, and the results may not be generalizable to all states. Respondents may have had a greater interest in continuing medical education and been more likely to prefer certain types of CME offerings or instructional methods than nonrespondents or may have been more likely to be participating in MOC.

Discussion

The majority of physicians in this study chose traditional forms of CME with large group lectures as the most preferred type of offering and instructional method for continuing education. Physicians who participate in CME select from the educational opportunities that appeal to them. Despite the implementation of MOC requirements necessitating both nontraditional content (eg, communication and professionalism) and instructional methods (eg, practice improvement exercises), there appears to be little attraction to nontraditional competency-based educational content or delivery. Interestingly, academic physicians are even less interested than other physicians in nontraditional forms of CME; this finding may be related to their customary role as faculty for traditional activities.

These findings remain similar to those of other US and international studies of physician preferences for traditional CME format published before implementation of MOC requirements. A survey of Minnesota physicians reported an overwhelming preference for traditional CME programs as compared to more interactive, technology-based activities.¹⁷ Eighty-five percent of rural general practitioners in Australia selected traditional didactic CME as their preferred method of maintaining professional standards, although self-directed learning was also frequently selected.¹⁸ Brown et al surveyed members of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America and found local grand rounds and regional meetings were the most preferred educational methods.¹⁹

Robinson's study of general practitioners in the United Kingdom demonstrated a correlation between physicians' learning styles and preferences.²⁰ The majority of the physicians in Robinson's study learned best by watching and thinking and tended to prefer lectures and less interactive activities. Similar preferences for lecture format and formal postgraduate courses have been found by others.^{21,22} Most physicians have a long history of success with traditional instructional methods throughout their undergraduate and graduate education, and perhaps their success with these methods is one of the reasons why nontraditional CME is not preferred or perceived as helpful. The apparent disparity between the instructional methods a learner prefers and those that have been shown to be effective in changing physician behavior^{4,23} may represent a barrier to participating in more innovative methods of CME that are thought to be more effective and are required for MOC.

These findings emphasize the need for additional research designed to improve our understanding of how physicians select the educational activities in which they participate. A better understanding of what makes traditional types of CME offerings and instructional methods attractive to physicians would facilitate incorporation of these elements into effective instructional methods and might also identify benefits of these activities that have not been measured in current studies of the effectiveness of CME. In addition, improved methods of self-assessment, curricula shown to have lasting effect on performance, and a focus on early adopters, those willing to participate in activities involving both innovative CME content and educational methods, are important areas of study to address these barriers.

Conclusion

Physicians continue to prefer traditional instructional methods for continuing medical education, and their preferences may represent a barrier to innovation in CME. Given the assumed insufficiency of traditional methods, it is especially important to consider how to facilitate increased interest in less traditional, more effective methods focused on the competencies. There may be early adopters who are more will-

Lessons for Practice

- Physicians may prefer traditional types of CME activities and traditional, passive methods of instruction.
- Evolving criteria for CME focusing on performance in practice will require nontraditional and innovative methods.
- Physician preferences for traditional CME may represent a barrier to innovation.
- Further research to improve our understanding of what makes certain forms of CME appealing to physicians would facilitate development of activities that are both effective and attractive.

ing to engage in less traditional methods, in spite of what would first appear to be low interest. These findings may help medical educators and CME program planners in future program development as they aim to integrate studied and effective educational methods into CME programs that are available and attractive to physicians.

In addition, as physicians respond to the new educational and regulatory environment in which they practice and learn, it will be important to monitor change in their preferences for CME.

References

- Difford F, Hughes RC. General practitioners' attendance at courses accredited for the postgraduate education allowance. *Br J Gen Pract.* 1992;42(360):290–293.
- 2. Goulet F, Gagnon RJ, Desrosiers G, Jacques A, Sindon A. Participation in CME activities. *Can Fam Physician*. 1998;44:541–548.
- Davis DA, Thomson MA, Oxman AD, Haynes RB. Evidence for the effectiveness of CME: A review of 50 randomized controlled trials. *JAMA*. 1992;268(9):1111–1117.
- Davis DA, Thomson MA, Oxman AD, Haynes RB. Changing physician performance: A systematic review of the effect of continuing medical education strategies. *JAMA*. 1995;274(9):700–705.

- 5. Cantillon P, Jones R. Does continuing medical education in general practice make a difference? *BMJ*. 1999;318(7193):1276–1279.
- Davis D, O'Brien MA, Freemantle N, Wolf FM, Mazmanian P, Taylor-Vaisey A. Impact of formal continuing medical education: Do conferences, workshops, rounds, and other traditional continuing education activities change physician behavior or health care outcomes? *JAMA*. 1999;282(9):867–874.
- Kohn K, Corrigan J, Donaldson M. To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2000.
- Medicine IO. Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 2001.
- 9. Choudhry NK, Fletcher RH, Soumerai SB. Systematic Review: The relationship between clinical experience and quality of health care. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142(4):260–273.
- McDonald WJ. Council of Medical Specialty Societies: Committed to continuing medical education reform. *J Contin Educ Health Prof.* 2005;25(3):144–150.
- 11. Spivey BE. Continuing medical education in the United States: Why it needs reform and how we propose to accomplish it. *J Contin Educ Health Prof.* 2005;25(3):134–143.
- Cassel CK, Holmboe ES. Credentialing and public accountability: A central role for board certification. *JAMA*. 2006;295(8):939–940.
- American Board of Medical Specialties, 2008. MOC Competencies and Criteria. Accessed October 15, 2007, at: http://www.abms.org/ Maintenance_of_Certification/MOC_competencies.aspx.
- Bower EA, Choi D, Becker TM, Girard DE. Awareness of and participation in maintenance of professional certification: A prospective study. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2007;27(3):164–172.
- 15. Rea L, Parker, RA. *Designing and Conducting Survey Research: A Comprehensive Guide*. 2nd ed. San Francisco, Calif: Jossey-Bass; 1997.
- 16. SPSS for Windows. 14.0 ed. Chicago, Ill: SPSS, Inc; 2006.
- Reddy H, Harris I, Galle B, Seaquist ER. Continuing medical education: What do Minnesota physicians want? *Minn Med.* 2001;84(3): 58–61.
- Booth B, Lawrance R. The learning preferences of rural and remote general practitioners: A quantitative analysis and its implications for the RACGP QA&CE program. *Aust Fam Physician*. 2000;29(10): 994–999.
- Brown TT, Proctor SE, Sinkowitz-Cochran RL, Smith TL, Jarvis WR. Physician preferences for continuing medical education with a focus on the topic of antimicrobial resistance: Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2001;22(10): 656–660.
- Robinson G. Do general practitioners' risk-taking propensities and learning styles influence their continuing medical education preferences? *Med Teach*. 2002;24(1):71–78.
- Rothenberg E, Scheidt S, Wolk W, Schwartz M, Aarons B, Pierson RN. Continuing medical education in New York County: Physician attitudes and practices. *J Med Educ.* 1982;57(7):541–549.
- Stancic N, Mullen PD, Prokhorov AV, Frankowski RF, McAlister AL. Continuing medical education: What delivery format do physicians prefer? J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2003;23(3):162–167.
- Fox RD, Bennett NL. Learning and change: Implications for continuing medical education. *BMJ*. 1998;316(7129):466–468.

Bower et al.

Appendix

Division of Continuing Medical Education Oregon Health & Science University School of Medicine

NEEDS ASSESSMENT SURVEY

1. There are many different avenues for keeping medical knowledge up to date. Please indicate which of the following sources of continuing medical education (CME) you prefer by circling your response:

	Least				Most
	Preferred				Preferred
Meetings of your specialty society or medical Association	1	2	3	4	5
Short (4 hour) in-depth coverage of one topic	1	2	3	4	5
1–3 day update or review courses.	1	2	3	4	5
Journals/reference texts/literature searches	1	2	3	4	5
Pharmaceutical sponsored events	1	2	3	4	5
Audio/video tapes	1	2	3	4	5
Computer-based CME (Internet or CD-ROM)	1	2	3	4	5
Programs sponsored by your hospital (e.g. Grand Rounds)	1	2	3	4	5
Teleconferences	1	2	3	4	5
Individually arranged retraining programs	1	2	3	4	5

2. There are many different educational designs used for CME activities. Please indicate the likelihood of your selecting each of the following formats by circling your response.

Would Not Select					Most Likely To Select
Lecture in large group setting	1	2	3	4	5
Small group interactive sessions	1	2	3	4	5
Demonstrations of procedural techniques	1	2	3	4	5
Interactive computer programs.	1	2	3	4	5
Journal or Internet reading with post-test	1	2	3	4	5
Individually arranged study/mini-sabbatical	1	2	3	4	5

3. In selecting a CME activity, what topics are of greatest interest to you? Please list in order of importance from 1 to 3.

Topic 1:	
Topic 2:	
Topic 3:	

- 4. What are the most important reasons you participate in formal or informal CME? *Please mark your top three choices with a "1", "2" or "3".*
 - _____ keep up on latest medical information and research
 - _____ pass a certification or re-certification exam
 - _____ obtain credits required for hospital privileges or state licensure
 - _____ meet and/or network with colleagues
 - _____ discuss cases/questions with experts in the field
 - _____ combine recreation/travel with learning
- 5. In the past three years, have you attended any CME programs sponsored or jointly sponsored by the OHSU School of Medicine?

____ Yes ____ No

6. Are you board certified?

- _____ No. (please skip to question 15).
- _____ Yes, I have lifetime certification (*please skip to question 15*).
- Yes, I have my lifetime certification, but plan to voluntarily re-certify (*please read the information below and proceed to question 7*).
- _____ Yes, my certification is time-limited (*please read the information below and proceed to question 7*).

154 JOURNAL OF CONTINUING EDUCATION IN THE HEALTH PROFESSIONS—28(3), 2008 DOI: 10.1002/chp

e complex re-ce fication will be	rtification p required to	rocess. Thoug demonstrate:	h the requi	rements may
medical license re exam computer-base nal benchmarks rmance through	d knowledge for both me chart review	e assessment dical care and v, develop an	test and/or l physician improveme	a minimum behaviors— ent plan, and
cation cycle.				
rements?				
7–10	years	N/A, w	ill voluntari	ly re-certify
	-			
ecision to re-c	ertify in yo	ur snecialty•		
Not at all	creny m you	ur specialty.		Extremely
. 1	2	3	4	5
. 1	2	3	4	5
. 1	2	3	4	5
. 1	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5
. 1 1	2 2	3 3	4 4	5 5
ou with re-cert	ification? P	lease check a	ll that appl	'y.
am components	3)
elpful to you a	as you part	icipate in the	e re-certifi	cation process
and virtual protification process provement (i.e patient data for disease registric	ocedures ss . quality imp practice-bas es as basis fo	provement ba ed quality im or education a	sed on pati provement nd quality i	ent data) mprovement i
	e complex re-ce ication will be medical license e exam computer-base al benchmarks mance through ation cycle. rements? 7–10 ecision to re-ce Not at all Important 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 3 2 3 4 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 2 5 4 4 1 2 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 5 5 7 5 7 5 7 5 7	e complex re-certification p ication will be required to medical license e exam computer-based knowledge al benchmarks for both me mance through chart review ation cycle. rements? 	<pre>e complex re-certification process. Thoug ication will be required to demonstrate: medical license e exam computer-based knowledge assessment in al benchmarks for both medical care and mance through chart review, develop an ation cycle. rements?</pre>	e complex re-certification process. Though the requiring ication will be required to demonstrate: medical license e exam computer-based knowledge assessment test and/or al benchmarks for both medical care and physician mance through chart review, develop an improvement ation cycle. rements?

(Please skip to Question 15)

Bower et al.

13. Please rate the importance of the following factors in your decision not to re-certify in your specialty:

	Not at all				Extremely
	Important				Important
Unnecessary for my practice	1	2	3	4	5
Too expensive	1	2	3	4	5
Too time-consuming	1	2	3	4	5
Expect to retire before I need to re-certify	1	2	3	4	5
Expect to change careers before I need to re-certify	1	2	3	4	5
Other (please specify)	1	2	3	4	5

14. If board certification were required for medical licensure in the state of Oregon, would you change your decision and proceed with re-certification in your specialty?

____ Yes ____ No

We appreciate the time you have taken to respond to our survey regarding your continuing medical education interests and preferences. In order to best use the information you have provided for us, we need to know a little about you and your practice.

- 15. Are you currently practicing medicine (e.g., direct patient care and other patient-related activities)?
 - ____ Yes, full-time
 - ____ Yes, part-time
 - _____ Not presently in practice (*please skip to question 19*).
- 16. What is the major specialty in which you currently practice?
 - _____ Emergency Medicine
 - _____ Family/General Practice
 - ____ Internal Medicine
 - ____ Obstetrics/Gynecology
 - ____ Pediatrics
 - ____ Psychiatry
 - ____ Surgery
 - ____ Other (please specify:_____
- 17. If you practice in a major subspecialty please identify:
- 18. Please mark the answer which you feel best describes the location of your practice or work:
 - _____ Rural setting, population of 2,000 or less
 - ____ Community of more than 2,000 but less than 10,000
 - ____ Community of more than 10,000 but less than 75,000
 - _____ Urban setting, population of 75,000 or more
- 19. Please estimate the percentage of your working time spent in each of the following activities in a typical month (total should equal 100%):

)

PRACTICE _____% ADMINISTRATION _____% TEACHING ____% RESEARCH ____%

20. Please complete the following information:

AGE (yrs) ____ YEARS IN PRACTICE (yrs) ____ GENDER M ____ F ____

21. If you have additional comments that would help us to better understand your needs for continuing medical education, please add them here:

OUR SINCERE THANKS FOR YOUR TIME AND ASSISTANCE WITH OUR SURVEY

Please return survey in the postage-paid envelope provided or mail to: Continuing Medical Education-L602 Oregon Health & Science University Portland, OR 97239-3098